

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/687,880	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jean F. Vollano	1621	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Sam Koller
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant had the application submitted as a divisional of 09/978,691 which is in the biographic data palm system. However there was no ADS or any mention of the continuing data in the first sentence of the specification. The examiner called applicant and it was agreed that the examiner would add the information in the specification as the first sentence by examiner's amendment . .